DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 133 640

CG 010 981

AUTHOR

Plotsky, Frances; And Others

TITLE

Analysis of Veterans Survey Responses. Spring

1975. w

INSTITUTION

Texas Univ., Austin. Office of the Dean of

Students.

REPORT NO

RB-SRS-031275

PUB DATE

75. 37p.

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.83 HC-\$2.06 Plus Postage.

Academic Achievement: *College Students; Educational

Finance; Financial Support; *Program Planning; Student Personnel Programs; *Student Personnel Services; Student Welfare; *Surveys; *Veterans;

*Veterans Education

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the veterans survey was to aid Services for Returning Students (SRS) in the planning and operating of veterans* programs at the University of Texas at Austin. This report represents an initial step in assembling a profile of the U.T. Austin veteran. Sixty-five (43%) of the 150 surveys mailed out to U.T. veterans were returned. The questionnaire requested information on veterans' characteristics, background and concerns, and whether they felt student services available to them were effective. The findings of the survey were that the veterans: (1) were only slightly older than the average student; (2) were likely to be while, male, and married; (3) did not use student services to any great extent, although it was not possible to determine whether services were inadequate or whether veterans were functioning well without them; (4) were academically serious and successful, and were very career-minded; (5) were receiving financial resources primarily from veterans' benefits; and (6) were lacking information regarding social services in the community and student services on campus, degree programs, career options, and qualifications for veteran's benefits and/or part-time work. Conclusions were that SRS should be responsible for keeping agencies informed regarding the concerns of veterans, and for planning programs for veterans and their spouses. '(Author)

US OEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EQUICATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

ANALYSIS OF VETERANS SURVEY RESPONSES SPRING 1975

Frances Plotsky, Susan Ohm, Jeanette Wendt

RB-SRS-031275

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY. HIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

OM. A. BYAND OF THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER.

CONTENTS

SEC	TION PAGE	
	Introduction	
	Background and Objectives	
•	Methodology	
	Related Literature	
	Instruments	
	Sample	
	Results4	
•	General Demographic Data4	
	Demographic Data by Marital Status	
•	Analysis of Concerns & Knowledge of Services	
i	Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations	
	Summary	
	Conclusions	
	Récommendations	
	Bibliography	
	Appendix	

ANALYSIS OF VETERANS SURVEY RESPONSES SPRING 1975

Frances Plotsky, Susan Ohm, Jeanette Wendt

Beginning in the Fall 1973 semester, Services for Returning Students (SRS) in the Dean of Students Office has provided general information and referral services to veterans. In the Fall 1974 semester, SRS began efforts to collect and consolidate information from veterans for purposes of enhancing planning and operation of veterans programs at The University of Texas at Austin. With the cooperation of other agencies which serve veterans, such as Admissions and Records, Reading and Study Skills Laboratory (RASSL), Student Financial Aids, Career Choice Information Center, R.O.T.C. Vet-Reps and the other service agencies within the Dean of Students Office, and designed and conducted a survey of veterans during the Spring 1975 semester. The purpose of this report is to present results of the Veteran's Survey as the initial step in assembling a profile of the U.T. Austin veteran.

Background and Objectives

Two major objectives were paramount in determining the information to be, obtained. The first objective was to obtain information on the background and academic characteristics of veterans, including their concerns upon entering the University. The second objective was to obtain feedback from the student on the effectiveness of the programs, particularly his knowledge and use of student services. This latter objective bears directly on the activities of the SRS agency which informs veterans about student services and campus-community organizations, conducts workshops for entering veteran students, and cooperates with the Vet-Reps on campus, who expedite the veterans' dealings with the Veteran's Administration.

Methodology

Related Literature

In addition to receiving assistance from other U.T. Austin agencies on the content of the survey, SRS consulted with other universities, particularly the University of Wisconsin at Madison which conducted a survey of its veteran population in 1972, and the Texas Association of College Veteran Program Officials. As a result of this contact, the SRS staff concluded that colleges seldom have detailed information about veterans. Although isolated studies have reviewed the academic performance of the Vietnam veteran (Joanning, 1975, p 10-13), the problems associated with veterans' adjustment to school (Jones, 1972, p 10-12), and the number of veterans taking advantage of their educational benefits (G.I. Bill, 1972, p 4); there is a dearth of information about who the veteran is and what his concerns are when he enters a post-secondary institution. Instruments

In developing the questionnaire, SRS obtained input from Admission and Records, including the Veteran's Desk; Reading and Study Skills Laboratory (RASSL); Student Financial Aid; Career Choice Information Center; R.O.T.C.; Vet-Reps-on-Campus; and the various units within the Dean of Students Office. The purpose was to poll their services related to knowledge of concerns which were expressed by veterans when seeking assistance.

These recorded concerns were incorporated into the questions contained in the instrument along with requests for demographic information. In developing the questionnaire, SRS had the assistance of the Measurement and Evaluation Center (MEC). Specific assistance was given by MEC in item writing and data analysis on the CDC 6600 computer. A copy of the questionnaire is found in the Appendix.

Sample

The survey was sent to 150 of the 157 veterans with Austin addresses who enrolled for the first time in The University of Texas at Austin during the Spring Semester 1975. Only five of the veterans were women. Table 1 shows the ethnicity of these 157 veterans as obtained from admission records. Table 2 shows the academic classification of the entering veterans obtained from admissions records.

Table 1
Ethnicity of New Veterans
Spring Semester 1975

Ethnicity	Frequency	Percentages g
Anglo	101	64.3
American Indian	. 1	0.6
Black	. 2	1.3
Spanish Surnamed	9	5.7
Other American Citizens	2	1.3
No data available	42	26.8
Total	157	100.0

Table 2
Academic Classification of New Veterans
Spring Semester 1975

Classification	Frequency	Percentages
Freshman	37	23.6
Sophomore	28	17.8
Junior	31	19.7
Senior	27	17.2
Special	7	4.5
Graduate	27	17.2
Total	157	100.0

The questionnaire, with a letter requesting cooperation of the recipient, and a mark sense sheet, were mailed to the 150 veterans. A stamped, self-addressed envelope was included.

Forty-three percent (\underline{n} =65) of the 150 students returned completed questionnaires. On all analyses this sample will be the one discussed. The number responding to questions varies due to all veterans not answering each question.

Results

General Demographic Data

As mentioned previously, the Dean of Students Office, Services for Returning Students, wished to assemble demographic data for a profile of the veterans currently entering U.T. Austin. In addition to the information about

veterans' major concerns, the profile would provide the administrators of University services with a general guide for planning future activities and programs.

For the veterans entering U.T. Austin in the Spring semester, 1975, about 44% were single and 55% were married (Table 3). Almost half of this sample were age 26-30 and another third were between the ages of 22 and 25 years (Table 4).

Table 3
Marital Status

Response	Number	Percentage			
Single	28	43.8			
Married	. 35	54.7			
Divorced	1	1.6			
Separated	_0	0.0			
Widowed [°]	0	_0.0			
TOTAL	64	100.1 ^a			

^aValue varies from 100 due to rounding error.

Table 4
Age

Response	Number	. Percentage			
Under 21 years	0	0.0			
22-25	21	4 32.3			
26-30	32	49.2			
31-35	9	13.8			
36-39	0,	0.0			
40-49	ĺ	1.5			
50-59	. 2	3.1			
60-70-	0	0.0			
71 or over	0	0.0			
TOTAL	65	99.9 ^a			

^aValue varies from 100 due to rounding error.

When asked how they were admitted to U.T. Austin, 29% of the respondents indicated that they were transfer students from a junior college. Thirty percent indicated they were admitted as graduate students (Table 5). Approximately 60% of the responding veterans had grade point averages between 2.6 and 3.5 at the last institution they attended prior to enrolling at U.T. (Table 6).

Table 5
How were you admitted to U.T. Austin?

Response	Number _	Percentage	
Freshman with no college credit .	3	4.6	
Transfer student with college credit for work in armed forces	3	4.6	,
Transfer student from a junior college	19	29.2	
Transfer student from U.T. extension	8	12.3	
Transfer student from a four-year college	8	12.3	
Graduate student	20	30.8	
Special student	4	6.2	
TOTAL	65	100.0	

Table 6
. What was your GPA (Grade-point Average) from the last institution you attended?

Response	Númber	Percentage
Under 1.5	0	0.0
1.6 - 2.0	· 1	1.6
2.1 - 2.5	7	11.1
2.6 - 3.0	19	30.2
3.1 - 3.5	19	30.2
3.6 - 4.0	13	20.6
Not applicable	3	4.8
Do not remember	1	1.6
TOTAL	63	100.1ª

^aValue varies from 100 due to rounding error.



As indicated in Table 7, 42% of the responding veterans had been out of school less than 12 months and 42% had been out of military service less than 12 months (Table 8). The vast majority of veterans now entering U.T. Austin (82%) served in the military during the Vietnam War (Table 9).

Table 7

How long has it been since you were last in school, excepting military courses?

Response	Number	Percentage
Less than 12 months	27	41.5
1 - 2 years	11	16.9
3 - 4 years	12	18.5
5 - 7 years		, 9.2
8 - 10 years	5	7.7
11 - 15 years	2	3.1
16 - 19 years	1	1.5
20 years and over	1 .	1.5
TOTAL	65	99.9ª

^aValue varies from 100 due to rounding error.

Table 8

How long has it been since you were in military service?

	Response	Number	Percentage				
-	Less than 12 months	27"	42.2				
	1 - 2 years	10	15.6				
	3 - 4 years	13.	20.3				
√ 5 - 7 years	5 - 7 years	10	15.6				
	8 - 10 years	3 -	4.7				
	11 - 15 years	<u>1</u>	1.6				
	16 - 19 years	0	0.0				
•	20 years and over	0	0.0				
	TOTAL	64	100.0				

Table 9
Classify your military service

Response	Number	Percentage
Career	5	7.7
Vietnam War	53	81.5
Cold War since 1955	7	10.8
Korean War	Ó	0.0
TOTAL	65	100.0

A survey of the financial resources of the incoming veterans revealed that about 52% had annual incomes of less than \$6,000 and another 23% indicated annual incomes over \$10,000 (Table 10). This income seemed to be derived mainly from G.I. Benefits/Veteran's Work Study and from the veterans' own employment (Table 17). Most of the aid veterans received from the Student Financial Aid Office was in the form of loans (Table 12).

Table 10
What is the approximate annual income for you and your immediate family?

Response	Number	Percentage
Less than \$2400	€8	12.5
\$2,400 - 3,000	8	12.5
\$3,100 - 5,000	9 .	14.1
\$5,100 - 6,000	8	12.5
\$6,100 - 7,000	3	4.7
\$7,100 - 8,500	4	6.3
\$8,600 - 10,000	. 9	14.1
\$10,000 - 11,999	5	7.8
\$12,000 and over	10	15.6
TOTAL	64	100.1ª

^aValue varies from 100 due to rounding error.

JABLE 11.

Percentage of Veteran's Income Derived From Various Sources

1	-,			- }}-					+-										
Sources		N	. ø	N	9/	, N	%	'/N) %	N	%	. /N	ď	\mathcal{I}_{N}	'n	Ň	, g	N	y %
		, <u>]</u> .	-10%]	1-20%	2	-30%	3	1-50%	51	-60%	61	-70%	7	-80%	<u>8</u> 1	-90%	91	-100%
G. I. Benefits Veterans' Work Study	,	4	6.3		9:4		12.5		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		7.8		6.3	·	6.3		6.3	······································	21.9
411	N	, ar	 . N	у ы	N	7 %	N	9	 N	ď	. N	oy e	N	***	N	av .	At .	or ,	•
The state of the s	Non			-10%		-20%		-30%		-40½		-50 %		-60%		-70%	<u> </u>	90%	
Spouse's Income	38	60.3		1.6	''	1.6	4	6.3		4.8		3.2	8	12.7	5	7.9	/ 1st		
				110	•	110		0.0		7.0		7.4		12.7		1.7		1.6	
		,	•			<i>;</i> .	•		•	¥.	<u></u>		,	2 7 1				1	1
	· 'N		₩	d is	N	oy no	N	%	N	% ·	N	.w %	N	7	N	%	AN	ý.	,
	None			-10%]]-	20%	21.	-30%	31-	50%	51	-60°/	61	-70%	71-	80% 6	7 5	90%	1,
Savings	42	64.6	20	30.8	2	3.1	0	0.0	1	1.5	0	0.0	. 0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Your employment Retirement Pay/	31	48.4	10	15.6	5	7.8	3	4.7	#10	15.6	0	0.0	}	1.6	0	0.0	4:	6.3	. •
Compensation ROTC/National	60	92.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.5	L	1.5	. 0	0.0	2	3.2	• 1	1.5	0	0.0	
Guard Student Financial	63	96.9	2	3.1	0	0.0	. ,0	ĠΟ	0,	,0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	• 4
Aid Parental Contri-	56	86.1	4	6.2	0	0.0	. 2	3.1	,2	3.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.5	
bution	62	95.4	3	4.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	. 0	0.0	0	0.0	

What is the greatest source (of the amount) of aid you receive from Student Financial Aid?

Response :		Number	Percentage
Loans	$\frac{1}{f}$	12	18.5
Grants		, ,1	1.5
Scholarships		1	1.5
Federal Work Study		1	1.5
Not applicable		50	76.9
TOTAL		65	99.9a

AValue varies from 100 due to rounding error.

Note: Discrepancy in Table due to veterans responding inconsistently.

Demographic Data by Marital

The questions dealing with spouses and family data were analyzed by marital status. Thirty-five veterans indicated they were married, 28 indicated that they were single and one that he was divorced. On some questions, single veterans responded as if they had spouses. It was impossible to determine if they responded inaccurately or if they responded because they were living with someone whom they considered a spouse. The divorced veteran is omitted from all of the following analyses.

16

Table 13
Is your spouse a student?

B .	Response	 Single		M	arried '	Tota
	,	· n	%	n	- %	n %
	No	, 3	10.7	26	74.3	29 (46.0
	Yes	0	0.0	9	25.7	9 14.3
• • •	Not applicable	 25	89.3	0	0.0	25 39,7
•	Total	 28	100.0	35	100.0	63 100.0

Three fourths of the married veterans' spouses were not students (Table 13). More than half the responding veterans indicated they were not employed. Seventy percent of the single veterans and 43% of the married veterans were not employed. Of the married veterans' spouses, 56% worked 40½ hours or more a week (Table 14).

Table 14
Hours per week employed by marital status

										,			·
			`		Self				S	pouse	!		No. 2
•		Si	ngle	Ma	arried	To	xtal	`Si	ingle		rried	T	otal
<u>Hou</u>	rs employed	n	%	n\	· %	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
,	10	2	7.1	ż	5.7	4	6.3	0	- 0′. 0	(0	0.0	0	0.0
	15	. 2	7.1	2	5.7	4	6. 3	0	0.0	₹ 0	0.0	0	0.0
	20	∕ 3	10.7	7	20.0	10	ì5.9	0	0.0	. i	2.9	1	1.8
	30	0	0.0	3	8.6	3	4.8	· 0	0.0	1	2.9	1	1.8
	40 or more	1	3.6	6	17.1	7	11.1	<u>,</u> 2	10.0	1'9	55.9	21	38.2
<u>_</u>	Not employed	20	71.4	15	42.9	35	55.6	18	90.0	13	38.2	31.	58.2
	Total	28	99.9ª	35	100.0	63	100.0	20	100.0	34	99.9	54	100.0

^aValue varies from 100 due to rounding error.

Of those veterans who were employed, the highest percentage worked in a professional capacity. The highest percentage of spouses who were employed worked in clerical positions (Table 15).

TABLE 15

Type of Employment By Marital Status

Response _		•	Veter	ans	·		74	· <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>	Spor	ise		1	
,	Mar	ried	' Sin	ıgle	To	tal	Mar	ried	Sir	ıgle	To	otal	٠
	n	%	n	%	u,	%	n	%	n	%_	n	%_	<u> </u>
Not employed	14	40.0	19	∂67.9	3 3	52.4	12	34.3	17	94.4	29	54.7	•
Professional	7	20.0	3	10.7	10	15.9	6	17.1	. 0	0.0	. 6	11.3	
Managerial	, 3	8.6	0	0.0	3	4.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Clerical '	2	5.6	, 1	3.6	. 3	4.8	11	31.4	1	5.6	12	22.6	
Service	,3	8.6	1	3.6	4	6.3	· 3	8.6	0	0.0	3	5.7	
Skilled	4	11.4	وا	3.6	5	7.9	2	5.7	0	0.0	2	3.8	
Semi-skilled	. 2	5.7	2	. 7.1	. 4	6.3 `	1	2.9	0	0.0	1	1.9	
Unskilled	0	0.0	<u>. 1</u>	3.6	1.	1.6_	0_	0.0	, 0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total	35_	99.9 ^a	/ 28	100.1 ^a	63	100.0	35	100.0	.18	100.0	5 3	100.0	

AValues vary from 100 due to rounding perror.

The final group of demographic questions explored further the family situation of new veterans on campus. The highest percentage of married respondents had either one or no children (Table 16). The highest percentage of children fell in the preschool aga category (Table 17).

TABLE 16
How Many Children Veterans Have By Marital Status

	Mar	ried `	Sin	gle	Div	orced	Tot	al Row	
•	n	% .	n	%	n	%	n,		
None	· 12	34.3	, 12	42.9	i	100.0	25	39.1	•
One One	10	28.6	2	7.,1	0	0.0	12	18.7	
Two	y 9	25.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	14.1	
Three	4	11.4	. 0	0.0	0	0.0	· 4	6.2	
Four	0	0.0	0	0.0	. 0	0.0	0	0.0	•
Five	0	• 0.0	0-	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Six	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6
Seven	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Eight or more	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	Ó	0.0	ě
Not applicable	/ O	0.0	14	50.0	_ 0	0.0	14	21.9	
Total ,	35	100.0	28	100.0	_ 1	100.0 -	64	100.0	

TABLE 17 ,
Age of Children By Marital Status

	•	01 des	t or	Only C	hi1d_		•	<u>.Yo</u>	unge	st Chil	d :	٠,
Response	Married Sin			ngle Total		Married		Single		Total		
···	<u> </u>	%	n_	% .	n	%	n	%	n		n	%
Not applicable	12	34.3	26	92.9	38	60.3	21	60.0	.28	100.0	49	77.8
Infant ·	O	0.0	. 1	3.6	1	1.6	3	8.6	0	0. 0	3	4.8
Preschool ·	13	- 37.1 6	٠ ٦	3.6	14	22.2	. Z	20.0	0	6.0		11.1
Elementary 🦯	. 7	20.0	0	0.0	7	11.1	2	5.7	. 0	0.0	2	3.2
Junior high	. 0	0.0	0	0.0	Q	0.0	2	5.7	0	0.0	. 2	3.2
Senior high ,	0	0.0	0	0.0		0.0	0	0.0	. 0	0.0	0,	0.0
18 years or `older	3	8.6	0.	0.0	- 31	. 4. 8	. 0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total "	35,	100.0	28	100.1	1	100.0		100.0		100.0		100.1

Values vary from 100 due to rounding error.

Analysis of Concerns and Knowledge of Services

Responses of the 65 veterans to knowledge and use of services are summarized in Table 18. The veterans then rated their satisfaction with specific veterans' services. These ratings are summarized in Table 19. In the final assessment, respondents indicated the magnitude of concern they had for financial, academic, and personal issues. These results are summarized in Tables 21 and 22.

The entering veterans' knowledge and use of 18 student support services were assessed by listing these services and instructing the respondents to answer according to the scale presented below.



- 0 Did not know about service upon entrance; did not use.
- 1 Did not know about service upon entrance; have used occasionally (three times or less) since entering.
- 2 Did not know about service upon entrance; have used frequently (more than three times) since entering.
- 3 Knew about service upon entrance; did not use.
 - 4 Knew about service upon entrance; have use occasionally (three times or less) since entering.
 - 5 Knew about service upon entrance; have used frequently (more than three times) since entering.

Over 50% of the veterans indicated that they neither knew of the following services upon entrance to U.T., nor used the service(s) once here. These services were: Reading and Study Skills Laboratory (RASSL) (58%), Career Choice Information Center (59%), University Child Care Referral (79%), Special Services (69%), Putoring (54%), General Information and Referral Service (59%), and Recreation and Informal Classes (51%).

Over 40% of the veterans knew about the following services upon entering, but did not use them: Student Financial Aid Office (60%), Student Health Center (51%), Counseling Center* (45%), Dean of Students Office (59%), Intramural Sports (55%), Measurement and Evaluation Center (48%), and Cultural Events and Speakers at the Union (41%).

* Counseling-Psychological Services Center



TABLE 18
Summary of Veterans' Knowledge Of and Use Of Services

	Did N	ot Use	Used Oc	casionally	Used Freque	ntly	Total Number
Service	Did Not Know	Knew	Did Not Know	Knew	01d Not Know	Knew	- -
	<u>n \$</u>	п	n %	n %	n X		
Reading and Study Skills (n = 64)	37 57.8	18 28.1	3 4.7	4 6.3	1 1.6	1 1.6	9 14.1
Studént Financial Aid (≢ = 65)	9 13.8	· 39 60.0 ,	5 7.7	11 16.9	0 0.0	1 1.5	16 24.6
Student Health Center (n = 65)	4 6.2	. 33 50.8	2 3.1	25 38.5	0 0.0	1.5	28 43.1,
Counseling Center (n + 65)	29 44.6	29 44.6	· 2 3.1	4 6.2	0 (0.0	1.5	7 10.8
Career Choice			•		-	1	
Information Center (n = 65)	38 58.5	22 33.8	1 1.5	4 6.2	0 ,0.0	0.0	5 7.7
Dean of Students					•		. #
Office (n = 65)	18 , 27.7	38 58.5	1 1.5	6 9.2	0 0.0 2	3.1	9 13.8
Services for Return- ing Students, Including Informe- tion Services for			į.	•	<i>\$</i>	•	
Veterans (n = 65)	24 36.9	28 27.7	6 9.2	15 23.1	0 0.0 2	3.1	23 35.4
Vet-Reps-On- Campus (n = 65)	9 - 13.8	12 18.5	√. 7∿ 10.8	26 40.0	2 3.1 9	13,8	44 67.7
University Child Care Referral (n = 65)	51 78.5	11 16.9	1 1.5	2 3.1	0 0.0 0	0.0	. 3 4.6
Special Services, Deag of Students Office (n = 65)	45 69.2	17 26.2	0 0.0	3 4.6	•		
	43 03.2	*	0 0.0	3 9-4.0	0 0.0	0.0	3 4,6
Tutoring, Dean of Students Office (n = 65)	35 53.8	24 36.9	0 0.0	3 4.6	1 1.5 2	3.1	6 9.2
rientation (n = 65)	-17 26.2	22 33.8	3 4.6	21 32.3	1 1.5 1	1.5	26 40.0 °
General Information and Referral Services (n = 65)	38 58.5	15 23.1	1 1.5	10 15.4	1. 1.5 0	0.0	12 18.5
(ntramural Sports (n = 64)	18 28.1	35 54.7	0 0.0	8 12.5	1 1.6 2	3.4	11 17.2
leasurement and valuation Center* n = 65)	25 38.5	31 47.7	0 0.0	6 9.2	0 0.0 3	4.6	9 13.8
ultural Events and peakers (n = 64)	15 23.4	26 40.6	4 6.3	13 20.3	1 1.6 5	7.8	23 35.9
ecreation and nformal Classes n = 65)	22 55 5	22 22 2	•	,		,	
<u>-</u>	33 50.8	22 33.8	2 3.1	5 7.7	1 1.5 2	3.1	10 15.4
eterans Desk (n = 65)	6 9.4	2 3.1	7 10.9	38 59.4	8 12.5 \ 3	4.7	57 89.1

^{*} The Measurement and Evaluation Center is responsible for U.T. Austin's credit-by-examination program, one part of which is the Admissions Testing Program (ATP) of the College Entrance Examination Board; one testing instrument of the APT is the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) taken by most entering students at U.T. Austin.

The most frequently used services, regardless of whether or not veterans knew about them upon extering are identified in Table 19.

TABLE 19
Frequently Used Student Services

Service	Frequency	· ·	Percentage
Veterans Desk	57		89.1
Vet-Reps-on-Campus	44	6	67.7
Student Health Center	28	·	43.1
Orientation	26	٠.	40.0
Cultural Events	23	(35.9
Services for Returning Students	23	<u> </u>	35.4

The second area of inquiry in the Concerns and Services section attempted to assess the veterans' satisfaction with those services aimed primarily at this special population. Respondents were asked to rate the quality of these services according to this scale:

- 0 No information
- 1 Unsatisfactory
- 2 Satisfactory

The results in Table 20 indicate that 56% of the respondents were satisfied with the Vet-Reps-on-Campus service in the Office of the Dean of Students, but almost one third (33%) also indicated that they had no information about the service. The Veterans Desk service in the Registrar's Office was rated as satisfactory by 81% of those responding. High percentages of veterans had no information about the Veterans' Information Service (67%) and Veterans' Tutoring (84%), both located in the Dean of Students Office.



Table 20
Satisfaction With Services Aimed At Veterans

	No Inf	formation	Unsatis	factory	Satis	factory /
<u>Services</u>	n	1 %	n	<u> % </u>	√n	% /
Veterans' Representa- tives, Dean of Stu-		.		بالمراسعين المراس		
dents Office	21	32. 8	7	æ10 <u>.</u> 9	36	56.3
Veterans Desk, Registrar's Office	9	1431	3	4.7	52	81.2
Veterans Information Service, Dean of Stu- dents Office	43	67.2	3 😓	4:7	18	28.1
Veterans' Tutoring	54	84.4	1	1.6	9	14.1
_ 						<u> </u>

In the third part of the Concerns and Use of Services section, veterans rated the magnitude of their concern from a list of financial, academic, and personal factors. These results are presented in Table 21.

Areas of little or no concern (combined responses of 0,1) to the entering veteran were: qualification for veterans work study (68.7%), dependency status (71.9%), Veteran's Rehabilitation Program (93.8%), Hazelwood Plan (67.2%), location of certified tutor (79.7%), credit for military courses (87.5%), formal organization of GI's (75.3%), ethnic differences related to other students (84.6%), and child care needs (81%). Of great concern (responses of 3 or 4 on a scale of 0-4) for the entering veteran were the following areas: qualification for veteran benefits (79.7%), future careers (76.5%), degree plans (54.7%), and availability of social services in Austin (54.7%).

Table 21

Since entering U.T., how important have the following areas of concern been to you?

	def	No initely <u>conce</u>	rn			•			defi	Yes nitely ncern	<u>.</u>	
Area of Congern	. —	0 1	•	<u> </u>		_2 				4	Hean	8.0
Part-time-Employment	20	31.3	,	10.9		21.9	7	10.9	16	25.0	1.9	1.6
Qualification for Veterans Work Study	34	53.1	, to	15.6	.	12.5	, 3	4.7	٠ 9	14.1	1.1	1.5
Qualification for Vetarans Benefits	7	10.9	./	3.1	4	6:3	2	3.1	49	76.6	3.3	1.4
Dependency Status	. 43	67.2	3	4.7	4	6.3	3	4.7	11	17.2	1.0	1.6
Vet eranⁱs Rehablitation Program	57	89. Î	3	4.7	1	1.6	2	3.1	7) · —]:#	0.2	0.8
Late/Overpayment	29 ू.	45.3	3	4.7	11	17.2	′7	10.9	14	21,9	1.6	1.7
Hazelwood Plan	39	60.9	4	6.3	.7	10.9	5	7,8	, 9	14.1	1.1	1.5
Y.A. Loan Program	38	59.4	5	7.8	4	6.3	11	17.2	6	9.4	1.1	1.5
Location of Certified Tutor	44	68.8	7	10.9	5	7.8	5	7.8	3	4.7	0.7	1.2
Credit for Military Courses	51	79.7 [^]	5	7.8	0	0.0	2	3.1	6	9.4	0.5	··1.3
Academic Preparation, Study Skills	32	50.0	6	9.4	9	14.1	5	, - 7.8	12	18.7	1.4	1.6
Degree_Plan		28.1	3	· 4.7	B	12.5	8	12.5	27	42.2	2.4	1.7
Fature Career	.)ı -	17.2	1	1.6	` 3	, 4.7	12	18.7	37	57.8	2.9	1.5
formal Organization of GI's	(35	53.8	14	21.5	6.	9.2	7	10.8	.3	4.64	.9	1.2
ige Related to Traditional	28	43.1	8	12.3	17	? 26.2	8	12.	4	6.2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1.3
Ethnic Differences Related to Other Students>	49	75.4	6	9.2	5	7.7	2	3.1	3	4.6	5	1.1
impersonal Treatment	26	40.ņ	12	18.5	6	9.2	5	7.7	16	24.6	1.6	1.6
Social Involvement	28	43.1	10	15.4	13	20.0	7	10.8	7	10.8	1.3	1.4
hild Care Needs	51	81.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	. 2	(3.2	10	15.9	.7	1.5
lvailability of Social Services in Austin	18	28.1	3	4.7	8	12.5	8 .) 12.5	27	42.2	2.4	,157

Four areas of concern were split between the degrees of concern among veterans. They are: 1) part-time employment (35.9% concerned, 42.2 not concerned), 2) late/overpayment (32.8 concerned, 50% not concerned), 3) academic preparation and skills (26.5% concerned, 59.4% not concerned), 4) impersonal treatment (32.3% concerned and 58.5% not concerned), and 5) social involvement (21.6% concerned and 54.5% not concerned.)

Two areas of concern, were analyzed separately according to marital status (Table 22). Single veterans responded to both. Of the married veterans, 68.6% were concerned (4,3) about their spouse's support and understanding, and 48.6% were concerned (4,3) about their spouse's educational/psychological needs.

Table 22

Veterans Concerns About Spouses

'By Marital Status

•	Si	ngle	•	1 ar	Married	:		Ro Tot	ow tal
Responses	<u>n</u>	% of single		<u>n</u>	% of married	•		<u>n</u>	%
		Spouše	Support	and Und	erstanding) 			·
No definitely Not a concern O	25	96.2		9	25 7	•	,	34	55.7
7	. 0	0.0		1	2.9			1	,1.6
2	0 ′	0.0		· 1	2.9	· • .		1;	⁶ 1,6
3	0	5. 0°	•	. 7	20,0			7	11.5
Yes Definite Concern 4	.1	3.8	•	17	48.6			18	29.5
COLUMN TOTAL	26	100.0		35	100.1 ^a		.` 	61	99 . 9

		<i>∄</i> S	pouse's Ed	ucation	and Psycho	logical	Needs 🍟		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	finitely concern 0	23	88.5	·	11 *	31.4		34	55.7
	-1 / /	j	3.8	•	4	11.4	· 1	.5	8.2
	2	0	0.0		3	8.6	<u>}.</u> .	3	4.9
	3	1	3.8		3	8.6	•	4	6.6
Yes de Concer	efinite rn 4	1".	3.8	4	14	40.0		15	24.6
COLUMN	N TOTAL	26	99.9 ^a	•	35	100.0		61 °	100.0

^aValues vary from 100 due to rounding error

Summary

The veteran is slightly older than the traditional student at U.T. Austin who is 22.3 years old. He represents 1/20 of the school population numbering over 2000. Only 5 veterans in the present sample of entering veterans are women. This figure does not adequately reflect the number of women in the armed forces who are now veterans.

The veteran seems typical of most students at U.T.-Austin. He does not express many concerns about the system he enters. Perhaps, he doesn't know the questions to ask because he doesn't know what to question or what concerns to express. However, this may indicate the maturity of the veteran. He was last in school less than 12 months ago and he may have resolved the initial concerns of returning to school at an earlier period.* This inference is born out by the fact that most veterans in this sample were transfer or graduate students.

Most student services were not frequently utilized. This could be problematic or it could be judged a postive factor. Either the services were not well publicized or the veteran did not need the help which was available to him. However, student services were available when a request was made.

Academically. The veteran is as goal-oriented as the average-age student. He is serious about his academic success and his grade point average is above average. He considers his future productivity, which is indicated by the majority of veterans who expressed concerns about degree programs and future careers. He is not taking advantage of tutoring services and seems not to view this function as a major concern. Only 19% of this sampling indicated "academic preparation" a major concern. RASSL reports only 14% of all students using their services are 25-30 years of age, which is comparable to the age of the veteran in this sample (RASSL, 1973-1974, p. 36).

Financially. Sixty-five percent of the veterans in this study indicated G.I. benefits and veterans work-study as contributing 31% to 100% of their income. Their income from other resources was negligible. The greater percentage (54.7%) were married and listed their spouses as employed. Less than forty percent of the veterans indicated the spouses! income as a source. The benefits for a married veteran is \$321 per month if he is a full-time student. Most veterans (over 75%) checked their income in a range from \$3,100 to over \$12,000. Most spouses who worked were classified in the clerical category, and most veterans who were employed were classified in professional and managerial levels. Conclusions

The following conclusions have emerged from this study. The ages of the entering veterans are similar to the average-age student at the University. Many programs planned for the traditional age students could be implemented for the veteran. The veteran indicated a concern for knowledge of social services in Austin and student services at U.T. Austin. He indicated concerns about degree programs and future careers. He also indicated concerns about qualifications for veterans benefits and part-time employment.

The veteran in the study was married; his spouse was not a student; and a majority of veterans were not employed. He received the greater proportion of his income from the veteran benefits.

Recommendations

· The following recommendations appear warranted:

1. Specific programs should be planned for veterans recognizing their marital status and concern for their spouse's understanding and support as well as concern for the spouse's educational and psychological needs.

- 2. Information about educational opportuntities in Austin need to be furnished to the veterans' spouses, in order to upgrade the spouse's marketable skills.
- 3. The following information should be provided the veteran before his admission to the Universty:
 - a. "Spot-Check Your Veterans Resources"
- b. a dittoed sheet describing veterans' benefits qualifications prepared jointly by the Vet-Reps, Veterans' Desk, and Veterans General Information and Referral
 - c. a dittoed sheet of social services in the Austin community.
- 4. SRS should notify the Veterans Administration that knowledge of qualifications for veterans benefits was a major concern of the veterans in this survey.
- 5. Academic departments should be advised of the veteran's concerns of degree programs and future careers.
- 6. The Career Choice Information Center should be advised of the veteran's concerns about future careers.
- 7. The Student Financial Aid Office should stress information to the news media and in bulletins about the part-time employment offerings listed in their office.
- 8. An appropriate agency, such as the Vet-Reps, should assume responsibility to encourage more minority veterans to take advantage of their educational benefits and attend the University and encourage more women who are veterans to use their educational benefits.



Bibliography

- 1. Joanning, Harvey. "The Academic Performance of Vietnam Veteran College Students," <u>Journal of College Student Personnel</u>, Vol. 16, No. 1, January 1975.
- Jones, Tony. "The Invisible Army," <u>Harpers Magazine</u>, August 1972, p. 10-12 and continued.
- 3. "G.I. Bill Users up for Spring Semester," Chronicle of Higher Education, Vol. 4. No. 6, March 31, 1972, p. 4.
- 4. RASSL, Reading and Study Skills Laboratory Annual Report, 1973-1974.

APPENDIY



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712

Office of the Dean of Students Area Code: 512 471-1201

April 4, 1975

Dear Veteran:

Services for Returning Students (SRS) serves as a general information and referral service for veterans at The University of Texas at Austin. Because of our concern with the educational growth and adjustment of veterans on this campus, we have prepared a questionnaire that will give us insight into the concerns of veterans and their knowledge of some of the student services that are available to them. The attached questionnaire is being mailed to veterans who have been newly admitted to The University this spring. You may be a student new to a college setting or you may be a student who is back in school following an interruption in your studies because of military service. In either event, you are a new student to The University this spring.

The purpose of this questionnaire is to determine a profile of the veteran on this campus, who he is and what he's like. Hopefully, the information that we assemble from these findings will enable us to better serve you and the other veterans that are on this campus.

The questionnaire can be easily completed within 10 minutes. SRS thanks you for your cooperation. Your response will be confidential. Please return the enclosed coding form in the stamped, self-addressed envelope by April 18th.

Sincerely,

(Mrs.) Frances A. Plotsky, Coordinator SRS, Services for Returning Students

FAP/ras

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN Office of the Dean of Students Services for Returning Students -

VETERAN'S OPINIONAIRE

The Dean of Students Office is compiling data on veterans attending U.T. to assemble a profile of the veteran on campus. The information will also be used to plan new programs and services for veterans. To assist us in this effort, please answer the following questions as accurately as possible.

Use a No. 2 pencil to mark your answers on the answer sheet as clearly as possible. On the left margin of this sheat are numbers which correspond to the columns numbered on the answer sheet. Be sura to answer the question which corresponds to the number listed. Check only one answer to each column.

Column

- Darken the grids of your Social Security number.
- 10. What is your marital status?

0.	Single	•		3.	Separated
ı.	Married				Widowed
2. Š	Divorced		•	•	

What is your see?

*****	at to your age;		
0.	under 21 years	5.	40 - 49
ı.	22 - 25	6.	50 - 59
2.	26 - 30	7.	60 - 70
3.	31 - 35	8.	71 or over
4.	36 . 30		

12. How were you admitted to U.T. Austin?

Transfer student from U.T. extension

- Freshman with no college credit Transfer student from a Transfer student with college credit for 5. Graduate student work in armed forces Special student Transfar student from a junior college
- 13. What was your G.P.A. (Grade Point Average) from the last institution you attended? (A = 4.0, B = 3.0, C = 2.0, D = 1.0

```
0.
     under 1.5
                                                   3.1 - 3.5
١.
    1.6 - 2.0
                                               5.
                                                   3.6 - 4.0
2.1 - 2.5
                                              6.
                                                    not applicable
3.
     2.6 - 3.0
                                                   do not remember
```

- 14. How long has it been since you were last in school, excepting military courses?
 - less than 12 months 0. 8 - 10 years 1. 1 - 2 years 11 - 15 years 3 - 4 years 2 16 - 19 years 3. 5 - 7 years 20 years and over
- 15. How long has it been since you were in military service?

Q.	, less than 12 months		4. 8 - 1	0 years
	I - 2 years .	•	5. 11 - 1	
2	3 - 4 years		6. 16 - 1	9 years
3.	5 - 7 years		7. 20 yea	rs and over

- 16. Classify your military service? (Check one only)
 - Career
 - 1. Vietnam War
 - Cold War since 1955 2.
 - Korean War
- 17. What is the approximate annual income for you and your immediate family?

0.	less than \$2400	5.	\$ 7100 - \$ 8500
	\$2400 - \$3000		\$ 8600 - \$10,000
2.	\$3100 - \$5000		\$10,000 - \$11,999
3.	\$5100 - \$6000		\$12,000 and over
4.	\$6100 - \$7000	-:	0.0,000

- 18. What percentage of your income is derived from G. I. benefits and Vateran's Work Study?
 - 1 10% . 61 - 70% 11 - 20% 6. 71 - 80% 21 - 30% 2. 7. 81 - 90% 3. 31 - 50% 91 - 100% 51 - 60%

19. What percentage of your income is derived from savings?

0.	8000	5.	51 - 60%
1.	1 - 10%	. 6.	61 - 70%
2.	11 - 20%	7,	71 - 80%
3.	21 - 30%	8,	81 - 90%
4.	31 - 50%		

20. What percentage of your income is derived from your spouse's income?

0.	none		5.	41 - 50%
· 1.	1 10%	•	6,	51 - 60%
2.	11 - 20%		7.	61 - 70%
3.	21 - 30% `		8.	71 - 90%
4.	31 - 40%			

21. What percentage of your income is derived from your employment?

0.	none\		5.	· 51 · 60%
ı.	1 - 10%	,	6.	61 - 70%
2.	11 - 20%		7.	71 - 80%
3.	21 - 30%	, pas	8.	81 - 90%
4.	31 - 50%			

22. What percentage of your jacome is derived from retirement pay and/or compensation

***	. haranamete .	. , , ,			r-,	
0.	BOGG	· /	5.	51 -	60%	
ı.	1 - 10%	4	6.	61 .	70%	
2.	11 - 20%	,	7.	71 •	80%	
3.	21 - 30%	•	8.	81 -	90%	
4.	31 - 50%					

23. What percentage of your income is derived from ROTC/National Guard?

0.	DODE			5. ,	51 - 60%
1.	1 - 10%			6.	61 - 70%
2.	11 - 20%	_		7.	71 - 80%
3.	21 - 30%			8.	81 90%
4.	31 - 50%		•		•

24. What percentage of your income is derived from Student Financial Aid?

0.	none			•	5.	51 - 60%
ı.	1 - 10%	- ·			6.	61 - 70%
2.	11 - 20%				7.	71 - 80%
3.	21 - 30%				8.	81 - 90%
4.	31 . 50%		•			

25. What percentage of your income is perental contribution?

		-	-		
0.	DOG6			5.	51 - 60%
1.	1 - 10%			6.	61 • 70%
2.	11 - 20%			7.	71 - 80%
3.	21 - 30%			8.	81 - 90%
4	31 . 50%				

26. What is the greatest source (of the amount) of aid you receive from Student Plasncial Aid?

- O. Loans
 Corants
 Cora
- 27. Is your spouse a student?
 - 0. no
 1. yes
 2. not applicable

28. How many hours per week on the average are you employed?

0. 10 1. 15 2. 20 3. 30 4. 40 or more 5. not employed



29.	Ho	n waith ponts bet me	ek on the avers	ge is your o	pouse employed?
	0.	10			
	1	15	1941		
	2.	20			
	3.	30 ·			
	4.	40 or more			
	. 5.	not employed	.•		•
30 .	Wha	t category best descri	bes your type o	of employme	nt?
	0.	not employed		4.	Service
	1.	Professional	3 .	5.	Skilled
	2.	Managerial	*	6.	Semi-skilled
	3.	Clerical		7.	Unakilled
31.	Wha	t category best descrii	bes your spouse	's type of e	mployment?
	0.	not employed		4.	Service
	1.	Professional		5.	Skilled
	2.	Managerial		6.	Semi-skilled
	3.	Clerical	,	7.	Unskilled
32 .	How	many children do yo	ou have?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	0.	0		5.	5
	1.	1	•	6.	6 \
	2.	2	•	7,	7
	3.	3	•	8.	8 or more
	4.	4		9.	not applicable
33.	What	category describes th	a age of your	oldest or on	ly child?
	0.	not applicable		4.	Junior High
	1.	Infant		5.	Senior High
	2.	Preschool		6.	18 years and over
	3.	Elementary	A		,
34.	What	category describes th	se of your	youngest chil	id?
	0.	not applicable.		4.	Junior High
	1.	Infant		5.	Senior High
	2.	Preschool		4	10 man and area

Please continue to next page.

Elementary

For the maxt list of items we are interested in finding out if you knew about the services listed when you entered U.T. Austin and how frequently you have used the services this semester. Please use the following scale to answer these questions.

0. Did not know about service upon entrance = did not use

- 1 = Did not know about service upon entrance have used occasionally (3 times or less) since entering
- 2 = Did not know about service upon entrance have used frequently (more than 3 times) since entering
- 3 = Knew about service upon entrance did not use
- 4 = Knew about service upon entrance have used occasionally (3 times or less) since entering
- 5 = Knew about service upon entrance have used frequently (more than 3 times)
- 35. Reading and Study Skills Lab (RASSL)
- 36. Student Financial Aid
- 37. Student Health Center
- 38. Counseling Center
- 39. Career Choice Information Center
- 40. Dean of Students Office
- 41. Services for Returning Students, including Information Service for Veterans
- 42. Vet-Reps on Campus
- 43. University Child Care Referral
- 44. Special Services (Dean of Students Office)
- 45: Tutoring (Dean of Students Office)
- 46. Orientation
- 47. General Information and Referral Service
- 48. Intramural Sports
- 49. Measurement and Evaluation Center (credit by exam, college entrance exams SAT)
- 50. Cultural events and speakers Texas Union
- 51. Recreation and Informal Classes Texas Union
- 52. Veterans Desk, Registrar's Office

Please rate the quality of the veteran services and programs according to the following scale:

- 0. oo information
- 1. unsatisfactory
- 2. satisfactory
- 53. Veteran Representatives, Deen of Students Office
- 54. Veteran Desk' Registrar's Office
- 55. Veteran's Information Service, Dean of Students Office
- 56. Veteran's Tutoring, Dean of Students Office

For Questions 57-78, read the directions below:

Since entering U.T., how important have the following areas of concern been to you? Rate the magnitude of the concern according to the scale below.

No, definitely out

No, definitely out

Yes, definitely e major

COBCETA

Darken e grid 0 · 4 in the column indicated to rete your feelings.

Financial (Rate 0 - 4)

- 57. Part-time employment
- 58. Qualification for Veteran's Work Study
- 59. Qualification for Veteran's Benefits
- 60. Dependency Status
- 61. Veteran's Rehabilitation Program
- 62. Late Payment/Overpayment
- 63. Hazelwood Plan (V. A. entitlement is completed. Texas payment of tuition and fees)
- 64. V. A. loan program

Academic (Rate 0 - 4)

- 65. Location of certified tutor
- 66. Credit for military courses (USAFI, N. D. Language School)
- 67. Academic preparation, atudy skills
- 68: Degree plan
- 69. Future career

Personal (Rate 0 - 4)

- 70. A formal organization for veterans (i.e., the University Veterans Association)
- 71. Your age related to traditional eged atudents
- 72. Ethnic differences related to other students
- 73. Spouse's support and understanding
- 74. Impersonal treatment75. Social involvement
- 76. Child Care oeeds
- 77. Spouse's educational/psychological needs
- . 78. Availability of Social Services in the Austin Community, e.g., Food Stamps, Clinica, Legal Aid, etc.